

Appendix 3: Summary table of consultation responses

Respondent	Summary of comments	Officer response
Huw Jenkins, Fewcott (Written response)	In favour of the revised appraisal.	Noted.
	Happy with the Conservation Area boundary.	Noted.
	No comments on Local Heritage Assets	Noted.
	Maintain the character by keeping the exposed stone and brick.	Noted. The Conservation Area Appraisal already addresses this within the Management Plan.
	Not supportive of urbanising the lanes and roads.	Noted. The Conservation Area Appraisal already addresses this within the Management Plan.
	Does not support the introduction of Article 4 Directions	Noted. If Article 4 directions are taken forward these would be subject to separate consultation.
Verbal comments from exhibition	Important to keep the green space in the village between Ardley and Fewcott.	Noted. The Conservation Area Appraisal already addresses this within the Management Plan.
	Important to keep the paddocks north of the church tidy.	Noted. The Conservation Area Appraisal addresses views and setting within the Management Plan.
	Important to keep the character of the Conservation Area	Noted.
	Some support for Article 4 directions.	Noted. If Article 4 directions are taken forward these would be subject to separate consultation.
	Some support for Local Heritage Assets.	Noted.
	Concern about the traffic impact on the village	Noted. The Conservation Area Appraisal addresses the impact of traffic on the character of the conservation area in the Management Plan. The wider issues relating to volume of traffic is beyond the scope of this Conservation Area Appraisal.